Attorney Docket No. LAGP:114US U.S. Patent Application No. 10/696,719

Reply to Office Action of September 1, 2006

Date: September 26, 2006

Amendments to the Drawings

The attached sheets of drawings are replacement drawings for Figures 1a, 1b, 2, 3a, 3b and 4. These sheets replace the original sheets to address the lack of uniformity in the lines, numbers and letters. No new matter has been added.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets for Figures 1a, 1b, 2, 3a, 3b and 4.

Attorney Docket No. LAGP:114US U.S. Patent Application No. 10/696,719

Reply to Office Action of September 1, 2006

Date: September 26, 2006

.

Remarks/Arguments

Objections of Claims 2, 14, 15, and 17

Claims 2, 14, 15 and 17 were objected to for informalities. Claim 2 has been amended by

changing the phrase "optical component(s) is/are" to "at least one optical component is" in line 1

of Claim 2. This amendment removes the objected language and places the claim in condition for

allowance.

Claim 14 was amended by changing "magnetic" to "magnet" in line 5 in Claim 14. This

amendment removes the objected language and places the claim in condition for allowance.

Claim 15 was amended by changing "magnetic" to "magnet" in lines 1 and 2 of Claim

15. This amendment removes the objected language and places the claim in condition for

allowance.

Claim 17 was amended by adding "at" after "secure" in line 2 of Claim 17. This

amendment removes the objected language and places the claim in condition for allowance.

Rejection of Claims 1-13 Under 35 USC §112, second paragraph

In the Office Action of September 1, 2006 the Examiner rejected Claims 1-13 under 35

U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particular point out and distinctly

claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicants respectfully

traverse this rejection and request reconsideration.

The Examiner indicated that Claims 14-19 were allowable, and that Claims 1-13 would be

allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112. Applicants courteously

point out that Claims 1-13 have been amended to address the use of language that lacked

antecedent basis, and thus have placed Claims 1-13 in condition for allowance. Therefore,

Claims 1-19 are allowable and Applicants respectfully request reconsideration.

8

Attorney Docket No. LAGP:114US U.S. Patent Application No. 10/696,719 Reply to Office Action of September 1, 2006

Date: September 26, 2006

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance, which action is courteously requested. The Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney of record if such contact will facilitate an efficient examination and allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Thad & McMurray

Registration No. 58,725

Simpson & Simpson PLLC

5555 Main Street

Williamsville, NY 14221

Phone: (716) 626-1564 Fax: (716) 626-0366

TGM

Dated: September 26, 2006

Attorney Docket No. LAGP:114US U.S. Patent Application No. 10/696,719 Reply to Office Action of September 1, 2006 Date: September 26, 2006

Appendix